

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/619,531	07/19/2000	Dr. Werner Groh	032745-023	2261	
21839	7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER		
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			SALVATORE, LYNDA		
	ALEVANDRIA VIA 20212 1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/619,531	GROH ET AL.	+			
_	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynda M.Salvatore	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avign final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI	ITION FOR ALLOWA	ANCE. to a			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	ig date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S	n. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	or extension and the corresponding amou the shortened statutory period for reply o ce later than three months after the maili 704(b).	unt of the fee. The approporing the stand O in the final O ling date of the final rejections.	priate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:		• •				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of t	s) a) will not be entered or b) [≀uld be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and vor appended.	d an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13</u> .	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14 and 15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16-26</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the obviousness rejections over Baravian et al., are not found persuasive. The Examiner maintains that motivation exists to combine the cited prior art references for reasons previously set forth in the Final Office Action.

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700